



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/416,368	10/12/1999	DAVID J. CORISIS	3770.2US-(97	6085
7590 03/02/2004			EXAMINER	
JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI			GRAYBILL, DAVID E	
TRASK BRITT	`& ROSSA			
P O BOX 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			2827	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/416,368	CORISIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	David E Graybill	2827	gw
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this coi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 N	lovember 2003		
	action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the condition is in condition.	nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 18-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3.4 and 18-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 May 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second sec	ts have been received. Its have been received in Application of the certified copies not received in Application of the certified copies not received in priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(east sentence of the specification or povisional application has been received in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National S ed. e) (to a provisional fin an Application I eived. and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.
Attachment(s)	_		
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/416,368

Art Unit: 2827

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37

CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: In Fig. 2, reference sign 200. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

In the rejections infra, reference labels are generally recited only for the first recitation of identical elements.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 18-20, 22 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lin (5114880).

At column 2, lines 32-38; column 3, lines 17-43; column 3, line 68 to column 4, line 4; column 4, line 42 to column 5, line 30; and column 6, line 45 to column 7, line 4; Lin discloses the following:

A method of forming an integrated circuit package, the method comprising: forming a lead frame 22 having a plurality of conductors 18 and at least one alignment feature "distal portions of leads"; coupling 21 at least

Art Unit: 2827

some of the plurality of conductors to a semiconductor die 20; encapsulating the semiconductor die and a portion of the lead frame with an insulating material 16; electrically isolating "severed" the at least one alignment feature from the plurality of conductors subsequent the encapsulating the semiconductor die and a portion of the leadframe; and removing the at least one alignment feature "removing the carrier structure" subsequent the electrically isolating the at least one alignment feature from the plurality of conductors (column 5, lines 28-30); forming the at least one alignment feature to include at least one aperture (opening/open space across the "pitch" of the distal portions of leads); forming the at least one alignment feature to include a plurality of apertures; forming a separation line (inherent in the process of severing) in the lead frame and wherein removing the at least one alignment feature further comprises removing the at least one alignment feature along the separation line; and forming the at least one alignment feature to include a tab 28.

A method of forming an integrated circuit package, the method comprising: forming a leadframe having a plurality of conductors and at least one alignment feature (the portion of 24 encompassed by insulating material 16, and "distal portions of leads"); coupling at least some of the plurality of conductors to a semiconductor die; and encompassing the semiconductor die, a portion of each of the plurality of conductors, and

substantially encompassing the at least one alignment feature with an insulating material 14, 16 and electrically isolating (via "removing the carrier structure") the at least one alignment feature from the plurality of conductors; and providing a tie bar 24 and forming the at least one alignment feature in the tie bar.

A method of forming and testing an integrated circuit package, the method comprising: forming a leadframe having a plurality of conductors and at least one alignment feature; electrically coupling at least some of the plurality of conductors to a semiconductor die; encompassing the semiconductor die, a portion of each of the plurality of conductors, and substantially encompassing the at least one alignment feature with an insulating material; electrically isolating the at least one alignment feature from the plurality of conductors; coupling the at least one alignment feature encompassed by the insulating material with a portion of a testing device "test socket"; and testing the integrated circuit package through at least some of the electrically coupled conductors.

To further clarify the disclosure of at least one alignment feature "distal portions of leads," the at least one alignment feature to include at least one opening/open space across the pitch of the distal portions of leads, and at least one alignment feature in the tie bar, it is noted that the distal portions of the leads, the opening/open space across the pitch of the distal

Application/Control Number: 09/416,368

Page 5

Art Unit: 2827

portions of leads, and the portion of 24 encompassed by insulating material 16 are inherently in alignment with the claimed package elements; for example, they are in alignment with the insulating material 16. In any case, the limitation "alignment" is a statement of intended use of the feature which does not result in a structural or manipulative difference between the claimed feature and the feature of Lin. Further, because the feature of Lin has the same structure as the claimed feature, it is inherently capable of being used for the intended use, and the statement of intended use does not patentably distinguish the claimed feature from the feature of Lin. Similarly, the manner in which a product operates is not germane to the issue of patentability of the product; Ex parte Wikdahl 10 USPO 2d 1546, 1548 (BPAI 1989); Ex parte McCullough 7 USPQ 2d 1889, 1891 (BPAI 1988); In re Finsterwalder 168 USPQ 530 (CCPA 1971); In re Casey 152 USPQ 235, 238 (CCPA 1967). And, claims directed to product must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Danley, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "Apparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does [or is intended to do]." Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc., 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject

matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin (5114880).

As applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 18-20, 22 and 26, Lin discloses the following:

A method of forming and testing an integrated circuit package, the method comprising: forming a lead frame having a plurality of conductors and at least one alignment feature; coupling at least some of the plurality of conductors to a semiconductor die; encapsulating the semiconductor die and a portion of the lead frame with an insulating material; electrically isolating the at least one alignment feature from the plurality of conductors

Art Unit: 2827

subsequent the encapsulating the semiconductor die and a portion of the lead frame with an insulating material; coupling the at least one alignment feature with a portion of a testing device; and testing the integrated circuit package through at least some of the electrically coupled conductors.

However, Lin does not appear to explicitly disclose decoupling the at least one alignment feature from the portion of the testing device; and removing the at least one alignment feature subsequent the decoupling the at least one alignment feature from the portion of the testing device.

Nevertheless, Lin discloses, "At the point of manufacture at which the carrier structure is no longer needed, be it after testing, prior to shipment, or at the customer site, the leads 18 may be severed," and, "it may also be suitable for the leads to be severed and even formed prior to removing the carrier structure." Therefore, it would have been obvious to decouple the alignment feature from the testing device and remove the feature subsequent the decoupling because it would facilitate shipment after testing, and removal of the carrier at the customer site.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claim 20, and further in combination with Tateno (4589010).

Lin does not appear to explicitly disclose wherein the forming a separation line in the lead frame includes perforating the separation line.

Application/Control Number: 09/416,368 Page 8

Art Unit: 2827

Nonetheless, at column 2, lines 24-26; column 4, lines 1-14; column 4, line 63 to column 5, line 43, Tateno discloses wherein the forming a separation line Y-Y in the lead frame 15, 16 includes perforating 25, 26 the separation line. Moreover, it would have been obvious to perforate the separation line of Lin because it would enable easily removing the at least one alignment feature along the separation line without damaging the encapsulating housing 14.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claim 3, and further in combination with Wyland (5986885).

Lin does not appear to explicitly disclose forming the at least one alignment feature to include an alignment cut-out.

Still, Lin discloses that the alignment feature 24 is a tie bar, and leads, and Wyland discloses a cut-out "stamped out" tie bar 22 and lead 21. In addition, it would have been obvious to cut-out the alignment feature 24 of Lin in order to provide it.

Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin as applied to claim 3, and further in combination with Mehr (5530295).

Art Unit: 2827

Lin does not appear to explicitly disclose disposing a heat spreader adjacent to and in contact with an external surface of the insulating material and, forming at least one other alignment feature in the heat spreader.

Regardless, at column 2, line 28 to column 3, line 9, Mehr discloses a heat spreader 22 adjacent to and in contact (at least thermal contact) with an external surface of the insulating material 16 and, forming at least one other alignment feature 38 in the heat spreader. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to combine the heat spreader of Mehr with the package of Lin because it would facilitate heat dissipation.

Applicant's remarks filed on 11-21-3 have been fully considered and rendered moot by the rejection supra.

The art made of record and not applied to the rejection is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is cited primarily to show inventions similar to the instant invention.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will

Application/Control Number: 09/416,368 Page 10

Art Unit: 2827

expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947, or after about 02/05/04, (571) 272-1930. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. The fax phone number for group 2800 is (703) 872-9306.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2827

Ju & MX

D.G. 4-Feb-04